New Developments in LS-OPT – Optimization New Features in LS-OPT Version 3.1

Nielen Stander and Willem Roux

LIVERMORE SOFTWARE TECHNOLOGYCORPORATION (LSTC), Livermore, USA

Abstract:

An overview of LS-OPT features is given with special emphasis on new features available in LS-OPT Version 3.1. The main features added to Version 3.1 include discrete optimization, 3-D metamodel plotting, additional statistics features and user-defined sampling. Some refinements have been made to the GUI with regard to Neural Network specification.

1 Introduction and Overview

In today's CAE environment it is unusual to make engineering decisions based on a single physics simulation. A typical user conducts multiple analyses by varying the design and uses the combined results for design improvement. LS-OPT [1] provides an environment for design and is tightly interfaced to LS-DYNA and LS-PREPOST with the goal of allowing the user to organize input for multiple simulations and gather and display the results and statistics. More specifically, LS-OPT has capabilities for improving design performance in an uncertain environment and conducting system and material identification. These objectives can be achieved through the use of statistical tools and optimization. The individual tasks that can thus be accomplished are:

- Identify important design variables
- Optimize the design
- Explore the design space using surrogate design models
- Identify sources of uncertainty in FE models
- Visualize statistics of multiple runs

The typical applications are: Multidisciplinary Design Optimization (crashworthiness, modal analysis, durability analysis, etc.), system and material identification (biomaterials, metal alloys, concrete, airbag properties, etc.) and process design (metal forming).

The main technologies available in LS-OPT are:

- Experimental Design (DOE). D-Optimal design, Latin Hypercube sampling, Space Filling and others. DOE allows the user to automatically select a set of different designs to be analyzed. The main types mentioned here are each suited to a different type of analysis: D-Optimal for polynomials and sequential optimization, Latin Hypercube for stochastic analysis and Space Filling for Neural Networks.
- *Metamodels (approximations).* Response Surface Methodology and Neural Networks are the most important. With these tools, the user can explore the design space and quantify the predictability of a response, i.e. identify sources of noisy response.
- Variable screening [4] provides information on the relative importance of design variables.
- *Probabilistic analysis* includes Reliability and Outlier Analysis [3]. The former allows the user to evaluate the probability of failure while the latter allows the identification of parts of a model that contribute to noisy response and therefore affect the predictability of the results. The outlier analysis uses integrated LS-PREPOST features.
- Optimization. Used for automated design improvement. The Successive Response Surface Method (SRSM) [5] is the principal iterative tool for finding a converged optimum. A similar methodology is used for finding a converged result using neural net updating.

Features are available to distribute simulation jobs across a network, using a queuing system.

2 New features

Stochastic fields

Stochastic fields are used to randomly perturb a spatial property. With this capability, a set of perturbed models can be created for a stochastic analysis. LS-DYNA Version 971 [2] provides the capability to perturb (i) geometry and (ii) shell thickness using eigen or displacement modes or harmonic functions. Examples are shown below:



Fig 1: Geometric perturbations of a cylinder based on (a) harmonic functions and (b) Karhunen-Loève imperfections

Karhunen-Loève imperfections are currently being added to LS-DYNA Version 971 (see Reference 6).

Discrete Optimization

In Version 3.1 a mix of continuous and discrete variables can be incorporated in SRSM.

Info	Solvers	Dist	Variables	Sampling	Histories	Responses	Objective	Constraints	Run	View	DYNA State	5
		М	4inimum Range									
	Type Name				Starting	Range	Minim					
Dis	screte Var	₹) 🗊 🛛 🗐		2	Valu	es 0.10	.5 0.5 1 1.5 2	2.5 3	3	📫 s	addle Direction
Dis	screte Var	₹) 🗊 x2		2	Valu	es 0.10	.5 1 1.5 2 2.5	3 3.5	4		Minimize Ŧ
Va	riable	₹) 🔂 🗙 3		2	31.9	0.1	32			C	Cases
Co	nstant	₹) 🗊 🗙 ४		2							 All
De	pendent	₹) ⊕ x5		 Definiti	on 2.0						O List
Dis	screte Var	₽) ⊕ ×6		2	Valu	es 0.10	.5 1 1.5 2 2.5	3 3.5	4		
Dis	screte Var	₹) 🗊 🗙 7		2	Valu	es 0.10	.5 1 1.5 2 2.5	3 3.5	4		
Dis	screte Var	₹) ⊡ ×8		2	Valu	es 0.10	.5 1 1.5 2 2.5	3 3.5	4		
Dis	screte Var	₹) 🗊 🗙 9		2	Valu	es 0.10	.5 1 1.5 2 2.5	3 3.5	4		
Dis	screte Var	Ŧ	x10		2	Valu	es 0.10	.5 1 1.5 2 2.5	3 3.5	4		
											+	
A	dd a Varia	able	J					l	Dele	ete a V	ariable	

Fig 2: The variables panel showing the specification of discrete variables

Improved visualization of statistical results

The LS-PREPOST visualization of statistical quantities based on multiple runs has been extended. Changes include:

- The variation of any *d3plot* response can be investigated.
- The histories of all the LS-DYNA runs can now be plotted.
- An automated bifurcations identification procedure is available.

ile <u>T</u>	<u>[</u> ask											
Info	Solvers	Dist	Variables	Samplin	g Histories	Responses	Objectiv	e Constraint	s Run	View	DYNA Stats	
	Display mean/std dev/max/min from all LS-DYNA jobs											
Da	Data Case											
	D3Plot		O History		Statistic	⊖ std	Dov	Max Valuo	_ Mi	in Value	CRASH	
S S R	ldv itress itrain lesult		x_displace y_displace z_displace result_disp	ment ment ment placen	Constr. I	Marg O Rang	ge () Max Job ID	O Mi	in Job I	ID	
F	lisc LD leam		xy_displac yz_displac zx_displac x_velocity	emen emen emen	Overlay N Global M	1ax Job Mode ax/Min 🔘 No	el 🔲 Overl	ay Min Job Mo in	del		Iteration 1	
			y_velocity z_velocity result_velo	ocity							Task Monte Carlo (FEA Data) Linear Metamodel Quadratic Metamodel	
	Correlat	tion									Constraint Margin Bound None	
)isplay in L	.S-Prel	Post	,							Clear Cache Stop	

Fig. 3: The DYNA Statistics panel. The panel is used to investigate the variation of d3plot results and LS-OPT histories. The procedure includes tight integrated with LS-PREPOST visualization. Instability analysis and display of probabilistic (six-sigma) safety margins are also possible.







Fig. 5 The LS-OPT histories of all the LS-DYNA run can be viewed simultaneously.

Improvement of neural networks

Feedforward neural networks were introduced in Version 2 of LS-OPT to provide a more flexible option for creating surrogate models for design. For Version 3 these have been improved for more robust prediction. The Feedforward NN's in LS-OPT have the following advantages:

- 1. Complex functions can be modelled. NN's provide an increase in complexity commensurate with an increase in the number of data points.
- 2. NN's are more flexible than the standard application of polynomials because these typically require a minimum number of runs for a specific order polynomial, and these numbers may be far apart for a large number of design variables. E.g. 10 variables require at least 11 runs for a linear response surface and 66 for quadratic. A NN automatically adopts the complexity of form dictated by the number of points and the degree of nonlinearity of the function.
- 3. A sequential response surface scheme such as SRSM in LS-OPT typically ends up with a local linear approximation of the response (i.e. they are piece-wise accurate). NN's are useful for making approximations across the design space. This allows wider exploration using the *Metamodel* or *Trade-off* features in the Viewer.
- 4. Neural networks tend to smooth and not interpolate (intercept each point). The smoothing property is required to filter or quantify noise.
- 5. Neural Network Committees: Because NN's are created using a nonlinear regression procedure which uses a random starting point to compute the NN weights, slightly different NN's are often created using the same analysis result set. This allows for (i) generating a centered net based on the mean of a *committee* of nets and (ii) generating variance information for the committee. Figure 8 shows the point-wise variance of a neural net.

A disadvantage of neural nets is that they are more costly to generate, especially for a large number of variables. A GUI-based feature has therefore been provided to exercise some efficiency options, namely (a) a choice of architecture, (b) number of committee members and (c) the number of discarded nets.

Since the default network is the best from an ensemble of 0 (linear), 2, 3, 4, 5 and 8 hidden node architectures, an option has been provided to select any combination, e.g. 0, 3 and 5 only. The results for each architecture are calculated from a number (default = 9) of independent regression runs, each

with a different random starting point. This process creates a committee of slightly different neural nets which are then averaged. The degree to which the neural nets differ depends on the sparsity of the points and the amount of noise present in the response. Since the calculation of the committee is more time consuming than calculating a single neural net, the number of committee members can be reduced.

Info Solvers Dist Variables	Sampling Hi	istories	Responses	Objective	Constraints	Run	View	DYNA Stats
G1 G2 G3	METAMODE Polynor Sensith Neural Net C Augmen First ite Efficience Number of F Lin 4 B Default = 2 Default = 9 Half number Default = 2	L mial vity Net Options ht pts, Up ration Lin- cy Option Hidden Nc 1 2 2 5 6 9 10 n-2-3-4-1 Committee	date surface ear D-Optim s odes in Net 3 7 5-8 e members ded nets	POINT : Ful Lai Sp Du Us al Number Default	SELECTION I Factorial tin Hypercube ace Filling plicate er-defined r of Simulation = 7	Points	5	u inv sidts

Fig. 6: Efficiency options for Neural Networks

3-D visualization of metamodels

In Version 3.1 a new feature is provided for the three-dimensional visualization of metamodels. The fringe types are function value and variance (standard deviation). The latter is important particularly for neural networks, where some variation may occur in regions of sparse information or noise.



Fig. 7: Response plot using a Neural Network metamodel. The mean response of 9 committee members is shown.



Fig. 8: Response metamodel plot with superimposed standard deviation (9 committee members)

User-defined sampling

User-defined sampling has been provided for cases where the user wants to use an experimental design not standard in LS-OPT (e.g. orthogonal arrays). The file (typically an exported Microsoft Excel file) from which the experimental design table is imported has a specified format and allows for the user to provide headers for each of the design variables. These headers allow for the variables to be automatically displayed in the variables panel (together with the upper and lower bounds).

<u>F</u> ile <u>T</u> ask <u>H</u> elp		
Info Solvers Dist Variables	Sampling Histories R	esponses Objective Constraints Run View DYNA Stats
1	METAMODEL	POINT SELECTION
	 Polynomial 	Full Factorial
	Sensitivity	🔘 Linear Koshal
	🔘 Neural Net	Quadratic Koshal
	Order	🔘 Composite
	 Linear 	O D-Optimal
	Linear with Intera	tion 🔘 Monte Carlo
	Quadratic	🔘 Latin Hypercube
	O Elliptic	Space Filling
		O Duplicate
		 User-defined
		Import File
		a.txt
		Browse



3 References

- 1. Stander, N., Roux, W.J., Eggleston, T. and Craig, K.J. LS-OPT Version 3.1 User's Manual, Livermore Software Technology Corporation, March 2006.
- 2. Hallquist, J.O. LS-DYNA User's Manual, Version 971.
- 3. Roux, W.J., Stander, N., Günther, F and Müllerschön, H. Stochastic analysis of highly nonlinear structures, *International Journal for Numerical Methods in Engineering*, Vol. 65:1221-1242, 2006.
- 4. Craig, K.J. and Stander, N., Dooge and Varadappa, S. Automotive crashworthiness design using response surface-based variable screening and optimization, *Engineering Computations*, Vol. 22:38-61, 2005.
- 5. Stander, N. and Craig, K.J. On the robustness of a simple domain reduction scheme for simulation-based optimization, *Engineering Computations*, Vol. 19:431-450, 2002.
- Craig, K.J., Stander, N. Optimization of shell buckling incorporating Karhunen-Loève-based geometric imperfections. *Proceedings of the 11th AIAA/ISSMO Multidisciplinary Analysis and Optimization Conference*, Portsmouth, VA. September 6-8 2006.